# Sequencing cell-free DNA

Søren Besenbacher, Department of Molecular Medicine, Aarhus University

## **Cell-free DNA (cfDNA)**





#### cfDNA half life: <2 hours

## **Cell-free fetal DNA (cffDNA)** Can be used to perform Non-invasive Prenatal Testing



Currently used to detect aneuploidy in fetuses



Can also be used to detect monogenic disorders in fetuses



#### donor-derived cfDNA Can be used to detect allograft rejection





## **Circulating Tumor DNA (ctDNA)** Can be used for detecting and monitoring Cancer



# Key challenge in the analysis of ctDNA

Minute amounts of circulating tumor DNA



Circulating free DNA data



# **Clinical opportunities of ctDNA**





#### **Tumor informed analysis Two strategies: Deep or Wide?**





Captured by WGS

Zviran et al Nat. Medicine, 2020

## **Tumor informed analysis Factors affecting sensitivity of ctDNA detection**

- Tumor fraction of the total cfDNA amount
- Number of genome equivalents examined (plasma volume) •
- Number of markers



Zviran et al Nat. Medicine, 2020

#### **Tumor agnostic approches** Panel of known driver mutations



Jensen et al, Clinical Epigenetics, 2019

## **Tumor agnostic approches** Finding Copy Number Variants (CNVs)



Adalsteinsson et al Nat. Communications, 2017

## Extra info besides genetic variants

#### (b) Nucleosome structure



© 2011 Pearson Education, Inc.



Snyder et al, Cell, 2015

Unlike normal sequencing the fragmentation is not random. I contains information about the epigenetic state of the cell the fragment comes from.



## **Differences in fragment length**





Circulating tumor DNA



Normal circulating free DNA

## Diffe Using Maximum rearing to classing samples







### **Differences in fragment length** DELFI: DNA Evaluation of Fragments For early Interception



Cristiano et al., Nature 2019

## Other epigenetic information we can get from cfDNA

Lower coverage around the Transcription Start Site (TSS) of expressed genes



Ulz et al Nat. Genetics, 2016

Lower coverage around active Transcription Factor Biniding Sites (TFBS)





Ulz et al Nat. Communications, 2019

## **Overview of strategies**

#### **Tumor Info**

|                  | Advantages:<br>Specificity |
|------------------|----------------------------|
| Targeted         | Challenges:                |
|                  | Few markers,               |
|                  | Only known mutat           |
|                  | Biopsy sampling r          |
|                  | Time and cost              |
|                  | Advantages:                |
|                  | Specificity                |
|                  | Many Markers               |
| whole Genome     |                            |
| Sequencing (WGS) | Challenges:                |
|                  | Only known mutat           |
|                  | Biopsy sampling r          |
|                  |                            |

| ormed          | Tumor Agnostic   |
|----------------|--|
| ations<br>risk | Advantages:<br>No tumor needed<br>Fast and cheep<br>Challenges:<br>Few markers,<br>Specificity / FDR control |
|                | <b>Advantages:</b><br>No tumor needed<br>Fast<br>Many possible features                                      |
| ations<br>risk | <b>Challenges:</b><br>New methods needed<br>Specificity / FDR control  |

## The future?



Keller et al BJC, 2020

Tumor agnostic WGS strategy combining many different features